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## HRD ANTWERP CUT GRADE

## Definition of Cut Grade

The description of the Cut Grade on a grading report is subdivided into 3 grades: proportions, polish and symmetry. Each grade is divided into 4 categories: Excellent, Very Good, Good and Fair.

- The proportions are determinative for the brilliancy and the fire of the diamond.
- The polish describes the finish of the facets
- The symmetry describes the variations of the different parameters which define the proportions.



## Proportions

## Determination of proportions

For a diamond to show an optimal combination of brilliancy and fire, it has to be polished with due attention to the angles of inclination and proportional relations between the various parts of the stone. If the angles and proportions are not optimal, this can lead to the appearance of one or more specific effects in the stone, which are detrimental to its beauty. When grading the proportions of a polished diamond, the main issue is therefore to evaluate if, and if so to what extent, these effects occur.

## Parameters that can characterize the proportions for the round brilliant

- The crown angle ( $\beta$ )
- The pavilion angle (a)
- The proportion of the table width to the diameter (\% t)
- The proportion of the crown height to the diameter (\% hc)
- The proportion of the pavilion depth to the diameter (\% hp)
- The proportion of the girdle thickness to the diameter (\% a)
- The culet size (\% culet)
- The proportion of the total depth to the diameter (\% td)
- The sum of the crown- and pavilion angle ( $\alpha+\beta$ )
- The half length of the upper girdle facets
- The half length of the lower girdle facets
- The fish eye effect
- The effect culet visible in the bezels


## Profile of a diamond and the different parameters



## Proportions

## Overview of the different parameters per category

|  | Fair | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crown angle ( $\beta$ ) | up to $25.9^{\circ}$ | 26.0 to $27.9^{\circ}$ | 28.0 to $31.2^{\circ}$ | 31.3 to $36.7^{\circ}$ | 36.8 to $38.2^{\circ}$ | 38.3 to $40.0^{\circ}$ | $40.1^{\circ}$ and up |
| Pavilion angle ( a $^{\text {a }}$ | up to $38.4^{\circ}$ | 38.5 to $39.5^{\circ}$ | 39.6 to $40.5^{\circ}$ | 40.6 to $41.8^{\circ}$ | 41.9 to $42.1^{\circ}$ | 42.2 to $43.1^{\circ}$ | $43.2^{\circ}$ and up |
| Table width | up to 47 \% | 48 to 49 \% | 50 to 51 \% | 52 to 62 \% | 63 to 66 \% | 67 to 70 \% | 71 \% and up |
| Crown height | up to 8.5 \% | 9.0 to 10.5 \% | 11.0 to 11.5 \% | 12.0 to 17.0 \% | 17.5 to 18.0 \% | 18.5 to 19.5 \% | 20.0 \% and up |
| Pavilion depth | up to 39.5 \% | 40.0 to 41.0 \% | 41.5 to 42.5 \% | 43.0 to 44.5 \% | 45.0 \% | 45.5 to 46.5 \% | 47.0 \% and up |
| Girdle | up to 0.5 \% | 1.0 to 1.5 \% | 2.0 \% | 2.5 to 4.5 \% | 5.0 to 5.5 \% | 6.0 to 7.5 \% | 8.0 \% and up |
| Culet size |  |  |  | 0.0 to 0.9 \% | 1.0 to 1.9 \% | 2.0 to 3.9 \% | 4.0 \% and up |
| Total depth | up to 52.9 \% | 53.0 to 55.4 \% | 55.5 to 58.4 \% | 58.5 to 63.5 \% | 63.6 to 64.4 \% | 64.5 to 66.9 \% | 67.0 \% and up |
| Sum $\alpha$ and $\beta$ | up to $67.9^{\circ}$ | 68.0 to $69.9^{\circ}$ | 70.0 to $72.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 72.5 to $77.7^{\circ}$ | 77.8 to $79.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 79.5 to $80.4^{\circ}$ | $80.5{ }^{\circ}$ and up |
| Half crown | up to 25 \% | $30 \%$ |  | 35 to 55 \% | 60 \% | 65 \% | 70 \% and up |
| Half pavilion | up to 55 \% | 60 \% | 65 \% | 70 to 85 \% |  | $90 \%$ | $95 \%$ and up |
| Fish-eye effect |  |  |  | Excellent |  | Good | Fair |
| Culet in bezels |  |  |  | Excellent |  | Good | Fair |

If the measurement results are classified in two different categories, the lowest proportion grade is considered to be the overall reading.

## Effects that can be perceived when observing the stone perpendicular to the table

- Fish Eye: The reflection of the girdle is partially or completely visible in the table (small pavilion angle and a large table width).
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## Proportions

Description of the girdle and influence on the proportions

| Description of the girdle | Measured value | Proportion Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely thin | 0.0-0.5 \% | Fair |
| Very thin | 1.0-1.5\% | Good |
|  | 2.0 \% | Very Good |
|  | 2.5 \% | Excellent |
| Medium | $3.0-4.5$ \% |  |
|  | 5.0 \% | Very Good |
| Thick | 5.5 \% |  |
|  | 6.0 \% | Good |
| Very thick | 6.5-7.5 \% |  |
| Extremely thick | $8.0 \%$ and up | Fair |

## The influence of the culet size on the proportions

| Description of the culet | Culet Size | Proportion Grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pointed | $0.0 \%(<0.033 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |
|  | $0.1 \%(0.033 \mathrm{~mm})-0.9 \%$ |  |
|  | $1.0-1.9 \%$ | Very Good |
|  | $2.0-3.9 \%$ | Good |
|  | $4.0 \%$ and up | Fair |

## HRD ANTWERP CUT GRADE

## Polish

Polish defines all external characteristics of the diamond. These characteristics are mostly the result of polishing the diamond.

## The characteristics being graded

- Scratches
- Percussion figures
- Beard
- Polishing lines
- Abraded facet edges
- Pit
- Burn marks
- Laser marks


## Description of the polish

Polish

| Excellent | Characteristics, not or very difficult to find with a loupe 10x |
| :--- | :--- |
| Very Good | Characteristics, difficult to find with a loupe 10x |
| Good | Characteristics, easy to find with a loupe 10x |
| Fair | Characteristics, very easy to find with a loupe 10x |

## Symmetry

## Determination of symmetry

The symmetry describes the variations of the different parameters which define the proportions.

## Measurable deviations

| Symmetry-deviations | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unroundness | < 1.0 \% | < 2.0 \% | < 4.0 \% | 4.0 \% and up |
| Variation in crown height | < 1.0 \% | < 2.0 \% | < $5.0 \%$ | 5.0 \% and up |
| Variation in pavilion depth | < 2.0 \% | < 3.0 \% | < 6.0 \% | 6.0 \% and up |
| Table out of centre | < 1.0 \% | < 2.0 \% | < 5.0 \% | 5.0 \% and up |
| Culet out of centre | < 1.0 \% | < 2.0 \% | < $5.0 \%$ | 5.0 \% and up |
| Table and culet out of centre | < 1.0 \% | < 2.0 \% | < 5.0 \% | 5.0 \% and up |
| Variation on the table width | < 2.0 \% | < 4.0 \% | < 8.0 \% | 8.0 \% and up |
| Single cut effect | < 0.8 \% | < 2.0 \% | < 4.0 \% | 4.0 \% and up |
| Deviation on the crown angles | $<2.0^{\circ}$ | < 4.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | < 8.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $8.0^{\circ}$ and up |
| Deviation on the pavilion angles | $<1.0^{\circ}$ | $<2.0^{\circ}$ | $<4.0^{\circ}$ | $4.0^{\circ}$ and up |
| Deviation on the angles of the lower girdle facets | $<1.0^{\circ}$ | $<2.0^{\circ}$ | $<4.0^{\circ}$ | $4.0^{\circ}$ and up |

## Not measurable deviations

| Visual <br> deviations | Deviation of the bezels |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Deviation of the pavilions |
|  | Cone-shaped girdle |
|  | Misalignment |
|  | Bow tie effect |
|  | Deviation on the girdle thickness (on max \& min) |
|  | Deviation on the angles of the upper girdle facets |
|  | Naturals |
|  | Extra facets |

## Information about the effects

Single Cut effect: The diamond looks as if it has less facets than are really present. A single cut effect is caused by the angles of the bezels / pavilions and the halves of the bezels / pavilions side.

Bow tie effect: Through the crown side, two dark zones in the shape of a bow tie can be seen.

## HRD ANTWERP CUT GRADE

Symmetry deviations

| 1. | Girdle outline not circular |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Slanting table |  |
| 3. | Sloping girdle |  |
| 4. | Culet out of centre |  |
|  | Table out of centre |  |
| 6. | Table and culet out of centre in opposite direction |  |
| 7. | Table and/or star facets not symmetrical |  |
| 10. | Bezels not symmetrical |  |
| 12. | Crown facets not pointing up |  |
| 13. | Varying girdle thickness |  |
| 14. | Single cut effect (due to alternating girdle thickness) |  |


|  | Crown side not aligned to pavilion side |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | Cone-shaped girdle | $\mathbb{R}$ |
| 17. | Girdle partly faceted | N1TII |
| 20. | Pavilion facets not symmetrical |  |
| 22. | Pavilion facets not pointing up |  |
| 23. | Deviation on the bezel angles |  |
| 24. | Deviation on the pavilion angles |  |
| 25. | Deviation on the angles of the upper girdle facets |  |
| 26. | Deviation on the angles of the lower girdle facets |  |
| 27 | Bow-tie |  |
| 28 | Naturals Extra facets |  |

## Symmetry deviations

## Different symmetry deviations of the girdle

Perfect symmetry
variation girdle height $(B$ \& $H)=$ $\max (B \& H)-\min (B \& H)=2.5-2.5=0 \%$
variation girdle height $(\mathrm{V})=$
variation girdle height $(V)=$
$\max (V)-\min (V)=1-1=0 \%$

variation girdle height $=\max [$ variation girdle height $(B \& H) \&$ variation girdle height $(V)]=0 \%$
single cut = average $(H)-$ average $(B)=2.5-2.5=0 \%(<0.8 \%)=>$ Exc

## Single cut effect

variation girdle height $(\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{H})=$
$\max (B \& H)-\min (B \& H)=2.7-2.3=0.4 \%$
variation girdle height $(\mathrm{V})=$
$\max (V)-\min (V)=1-1=0 \%$

variation girdle height $=\max [$ variation girdle height $(B \& H) \&$ variation girdle height $(V)]=0.4 \%$
single cut = average $(H)-$ average $(B)=2.7-2.3=0.4 \%(<0.8 \%)=>$ Exc
average $(H)=$

## Variation girdle height

variation girdle height $(\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{H})=$ $\max (B \& H)-\min (B \& H)=3.4-2.5=0.9 \%$
variation girdle height $(\mathrm{V})=$
$\max (V)-\min (V)=1.5-1.5=0 \%$

variation girdle height $=\max [$ variation girdle height $(B \& H) \&$ variation girdle height $(V)]=0.9 \%$
single cut = average $(H)-$ average $(B)=2.6-2.5=0.1 \%(<0.8 \%)=>$ Exc
average $(H)=$

Variation girdle height
variation girdle height $(\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{H})=$ $\max (B \& H)-\min (B \& H)=3-2=1 \%$
variation girdle height $(\mathrm{V})=$
$\max (V)-\min (V)=1.5-0.5=1 \%$

average $(H)=$ 2.5\%
average $(\mathrm{B})=$ 2.5\%
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## Conclusion

The proportion grade is based on the average of the measured values. If there is a large deviation on one or more values, the beauty of the diamond can be influenced. The table below shows the influence of symmetry and/or polish on the final proportion grade.

| Pol. / Sym. | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Excellent |
| :---: |
| Very Good |
| Good |
| Fair |


| Excellent | Excellent | Very Good | Good |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Good | Very Good | Very Good | Good |
| Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair |

HRD ANTWERP IS BASED IN ANTWERP, DIAMOND CAPITAL OF THE WORLD.


[^0]:    - Culet visible in the bezels: The diamond shows an abnormal amount of scintillation, due to the culet and the surrounding facets being visible through the bezels (a large total depth and crown angle).

